

### Korean *-lato* as additive free choice

**TL;DR** -*Lato* in Korean combines with indefinite expressions to form ‘emphatic’ Free Choice Items (FCIs), whose semantics and distribution are marginally distinct from those of pure FCIs. We argue that -*lato* constitutes an instance of “additive free choice” (Fălăuș & Nicolae 2022); that is, -*lato* can be further decomposed into the disjunction -*la(-na)* and the additive -*to*.

**PSIs in Korean** In Korean, *wh*-indefinites can combine with particles such as *-to*, *-(i)na*, and *-(i)lato*, forming what are now commonly known as Polarity Sensitive Items (PSIs).

- (1) a. Nwukwu-to hakkyo-ey an w-ass-ta.  
 who-ADD school-to NEG come-PST-DECL  
 ‘Nobody came to school.’  
 b. Nwukwu-{na/lato} hakkyo-ey o-l swu iss-ta.  
 who-DISJ/DISJ-ADD school-to come-REL way exist-DECL  
 ‘Anyone can come to school.’

*Nwukwu-to* exhibits the semantics of a Strong Negative Polarity Item (SNPI) (licensed in anti-morphic contexts), whereas *nwukwu-na* and *nwukwu-lato* exhibit the semantics of FCIs (licensed in modal and imperative contexts). An increasing body of recent work aims to derive the semantics and distribution of PSIs from their morphological structure: cross-linguistically, they are made up of an indefinite plus either an additive (e.g. Hindi *ek bhii*) or disjunction (e.g. Hungarian *akárki*) (see, e.g., Chierchia 2013, among others). Korean also fits this paradigm, as *-to* and *-na* function as plain additive and disjunction markers, respectively (examples omitted).

**(Pure) FCIs** Chierchia (2013), building on insights from Fox (2007), among others, offers an explanation of how FCIs of the form ‘indefinite + DISJ’ get to acquire their characteristic semantics and distribution. The key assumption is that these items obligatorily activate pre-exhaustified subdomain alternatives. We illustrate this with the example in (1b) below:

- (2) a. Assertion: Nwukwu-na ‘who + DISJ’ can come to school.  
b. LF:  $\exists x \in D \Diamond \text{come}(x)$   
c. D-ALT:  $\{\exists x \in D' \Diamond \text{come}(x) \mid D' \subset D\}$   
d. PRE-EXH D-ALT:  $\{\text{EXH} [\exists x \in D' \Diamond \text{come}(x)] \mid D' \subset D\}$   
 $= \{\exists x \in D' \Diamond \text{come}(x) \wedge \neg \exists x \in D \setminus D' \Diamond \text{come}(x) \mid D' \subset D\}$

Assuming that activated alternatives must be obligatorily exhaustified, all elements of PRE-EXH D-ALT will be negated, since none of them is entailed by the original assertion. Note that negating a proposition of the form ‘ $\exists x \in D$ ’  $\Diamond \text{come}(x) \wedge \neg \exists x \in D \setminus D' \Diamond \text{come}(x)$ ’ yields a conditional, i.e. ‘ $\exists x \in D$ ’  $\Diamond \text{come}(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \in D \setminus D' \Diamond \text{come}(x)$ ’. This is how the FC effect is derived: for every subdomain  $D'$  of  $D$ , if the assertion holds of  $D'$ , it will also hold of  $D \setminus D'$ .

**ADD-FCIs** Fălăuş & Nicolae (2022) introduce a novel class of free choice items in Romanian, which they refer to as additive FCIs (ADD-FCIs). ADD-FCIs differ from pure FCIs in that they involve the additive particle in addition to the disjunction and an indefinite expression.

- (3) a. ADD-FCIs (e.g. *orişicine*): *ori* ‘DISJ’ + *şi* ‘ADD’ + *wh*-word  
 b. Pure FCIs (e.g. *oricine*): *ori* ‘DISJ’ + *wh*-word

F&N’s analysis of ADD-FCIs builds on two assumptions: (i) the additive *si* signals obligatory exhaustification with respect to its pre-exhaustified alternative ( $\text{EXH}_{\text{ADD}}$ ), and (ii)  $\text{EXH}_{\text{ADD}}$  takes scope over  $\text{EXH}_{\text{FCI}}$ . Let us review these assumptions in turn.

We have seen above that exhaustification with respect to pre-exhaustified subdomain alternatives ( $\text{EXH}_{\text{FCI}}$ ) yields FC effects, by virtue of the fact that the negation of those pre-exhaustified subdomain alternatives results in a conditional meaning. In a parallel manner, the additive inference associated with particles such as *si* can be understood as a result of exhaustification:

- (4) a. Assertion: Şi Ana came to school. (example modified from F&N 2022)  
b. LF: came(Ana)

- c. pre-exhaustified alternative:  $\text{EXH} [\text{came}(\text{Ana})] = \text{came}(\text{Ana}) \wedge \neg \exists x \in D [x \neq \text{Ana} \wedge \text{came}(x)]$

When *și* is associated with an individual, the pre-exhaustified alternative of the assertion takes the form ‘only  $P(x)$ ’. Since this is not entailed by the original assertion, it ends up being negated, which derives the additive inference: ‘ $\text{came}(\text{Ana}) \wedge \exists x \in D [x \neq \text{Ana} \wedge \text{came}(x)]$ ’. When *și* is associated with a quantifier, F&N argue, the pre-exhaustified alternative of the assertion takes the form ‘ $Qx \in D P(x) \wedge \neg Qx \in D' \setminus D P(x)$ ,’ for some superset  $D'$  of  $D$ . This explains the ‘emphatic’ component of ADD-FCIs: on top of universal quantification derived from the application of  $\text{EXH}_{\text{FCI}}$ ,  $\text{EXH}_{\text{ADD}}$  results in a domain-widening effect, i.e. ‘ $\forall x \in D' P(x)$ ’.

(5)  $\exists x \in D P(x) \rightarrow \boxed{\text{EXH}_{\text{FCI}}} \rightarrow \forall x \in D P(x) \rightarrow \boxed{\text{EXH}_{\text{ADD}}} \rightarrow \forall x \in D' P(x)$ , where  $D \subset D'$ .

In Romanian, *orișicine*, unlike *oricine*, cannot appear in the antecedent of an unconditional unless the antecedent is marked by conditional mood. F&N attribute the limited distribution of *orișicine* to its emphatic nature; it quantifies over larger domains, which renders it incompatible with the indicative mood. The intuition behind this contrast is that the use of a conditional mood expands the modal base, thereby introducing a larger set of accessible worlds.

**-lato** We argue that Korean *-lato* can also be decomposed into the disjunction *-la(-na)* and the additive *-to*, placing it within a the novel class of the FC paradigm: additive free choice. Its combination with a *wh*-indefinite, e.g. *nwukwu-lato*, has been repeatedly reported by Korean researchers to convey a kind of ‘emphasis’ in comparison to its pure FCI counterpart, *nwukwuna*, and to exhibit a more limited distribution (Lee et al. 2000, Choi 2005).

- (6) a. Chelswu-nun nwukwu- $\{\text{na}/*\text{lato}\}$  manna-ss-ta.  
Chelswu-TOP who- $\{\text{DISJ}/\text{DISJ-ADD}\}$  date-PST-DECL  
‘Chelswu dated **anyone**.’  
b. Chelswu-nun nwukwu- $\{\text{na}/*\text{lato}\}$  an manna-ss-ta.  
Chelswu-TOP who- $\{\text{DISJ}/\text{DISJ-ADD}\}$  NEG date-PST-DECL  
‘Chelswu didn’t date **anyone**.’

While *nwukwu-na* may appear in non-(overtly)-modal contexts, *nwukwu-lato* is systematically excluded from such environments. Note that *nwukwu-na* sounds natural in these examples only when it “induces an implicit modal meaning” (Lee et al. 2000). This intuition is reflected in the translations through the boldfaced **anyone**, indicating that the only available interpretation here is a ‘not-just-any’ reading — one that, in English, is typically conveyed by stressed **any**. Therefore, we conclude that (6a) and (6b) are instances of an “embedded FC”, which arises from the presence of a covert modal operator scoping above the FCI and below the EXH:

(7)  $\text{EXH} [\Diamond_{\text{covert}} \text{Chelswu dated/didn't date nwukwu-na 'who + DISJ'}]$

If this is correct, the ungrammaticality of *nwukwu-lato* in (6a) and (6b) indicates its incompatibility with the covert modal operator  $\Diamond_{\text{covert}}$ . The extent to which a given modal operator can access possible worlds is an extremely challenging topic, and is known to depend on a range of idiosyncratic factors (see, e.g., Chierchia 2013). At this point, we tentatively conclude that the modal base of  $\Diamond_{\text{covert}}$  in Korean is subject to the same restriction as that of the indicative mood: both may only access the worlds in the context set. (Talk includes further investigation, potentially related to variation in modal flavor and degrees of freedom among different FCIs.)

**Implications** Korean provides an ideal testing ground for a range of Polarity Sensitive (PS) phenomena, as it attests to the full paradigm predicted in the literature:  $\exists + \text{ADD}$ ,  $\exists + \text{DISJ}$ , and  $\exists + \text{DISJ} + \text{ADD}$ . (According to F&N, Romanian lacks the first option.) Korean ADD-FCI *nwukwu-lato* also transparently conforms to the scope configuration assumed in F&N —  $\text{EXH}_{\text{ADD}} \gg \text{EXH}_{\text{FCI}}$  — in contrast to the Romanian *orișicine*. Further investigation is surely needed to better understand the semantics and distribution of various PSIs, including the relatively new category of ADD-FCIs, and their cross-linguistic differences.

**References** • Chierchia, Gennaro. 2013. *Logic in grammar: Polarity, free choice, and intervention*. OUP Oxford. • Choi, Jinyoung. 2005. Another type of free choice effect: Korean amwu N-lato. In *Proceedings of the 24th WCCFL*, 88–96. • Fălăuş, Anamaria & Andreea C. Nicolae. 2022. Additive free choice items. *Natural Language Semantics* 30(2). 185–214. • Fox, Danny. 2007. Free choice and the theory of scalar implicatures. In *Presupposition and implicature in compositional semantics*, 71–120. Springer. • Lee, Chungmin, Daeho Chung & Seungho Nam. 2000. The semantics of amwu-N-to/-irato/-ina in Korean: Arbitrary choice and concession. In *Proceedings of the 14th Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*, 413–424. • Sauerland, Uli & Kazuko Yatsushiro. 2023. Domain size matters: An exceptive that forms strong npis. *The size of things II* 363.